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#### Abstract

We derive Lagrangians of classic models in biology, such the Lotka-Volterra system.


## 1 Introduction

"Among the mathematical results obtained by studying the inverse problem of mechanics, it is the explicit algorithms for constructing Lagrangians that offer the model builder the most practical benefit." [14].

It is well-known that a Lagrangian always exists for any second-order ordinary differential equation [18].
[11] and the references within.
"What are the criteria that a system of ordinary differential equations must satisfy to assure the existence of a Lagrangian?" [14].
"Does there exist an algorithm that enables one to construct the Lagrangian from the dynamical equations?" [14].
p. 756 equation (27) [14] $g$ is actually the JLM of system (21) for $\mathrm{n}=2$
"These results clearly show that as the interaction between the populations becomes more complex the corresponding Lagrangian becomes more complicated and difficult to find" [16].

[^0]
## 2 The method by Jacobi

The method of the Jacobi last multiplier ([2], [3], [4], [5]) provides a means to determine all the solutions of the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or its equivalent associated Lagrange's system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2}}{a_{2}}=\ldots=\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{n}}{a_{n}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if one knows the Jacobi last multiplier and all but one of the solutions, then the last solution can be obtained by a quadrature. The Jacobi last multiplier $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(f, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}=M \mathcal{A} f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial\left(f, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}  \tag{4}\\
\frac{\partial \omega_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & & \frac{\partial \omega_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial \omega_{n-1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \omega_{n-1}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

and $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}$ are $n-1$ solutions of (1) or, equivalently, first integrals of (2) independent of each other. This means that $M$ is a function of the variables $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and depends on the chosen $n-1$ solutions, in the sense that it varies as they vary. The essential properties of the Jacobi last multiplier are:
(a) If one selects a different set of $n-1$ independent solutions $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}$ of equation (1), then the corresponding last multiplier $N$ is linked to $M$ by the relationship:

$$
N=M \frac{\partial\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right)}{\partial\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-1}\right)}
$$

(b) Given a non-singular transformation of variables

$$
\tau: \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

then the last multiplier $M^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} F=0$ is given by:

$$
M^{\prime}=M \frac{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where $M$ obviously comes from the $n-1$ solutions of $\mathcal{A} F=0$ which correspond to those chosen for $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} F=0$ through the inverse transformation $\tau^{-1}$.
(c) One can prove that each multiplier $M$ is a solution of the following linear partial differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(M a_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

viceversa every solution $M$ of this equation is a Jacobi last multiplier.
(d) If one knows two Jacobi last multipliers $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of equation (1), then their ratio is a solution $\omega$ of (1), or, equivalently, a first integral of (2). Naturally the ratio may be quite trivial, namely a constant. Viceversa the product of a multiplier $M_{1}$ times any solution $\omega$ yields another last multiplier $M_{2}=M_{1} \omega$.

There is an obvious corollary to the results of Jacobi mentioned above. In the case that there exists a constant multiplier, then any other Jacobi Last Multiplier is a first integral.

Another property of the Jacobi Last Multiplier is its (almost forgotten) relationship with the Lagrangian, $L=L(t, x, \dot{x})$, for any second-order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}=F(t, x, \dot{x}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $[5],[18]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \dot{x}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=M(t, x, \dot{x})$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\log M)+\frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (6) becomes the Euler-Lagrangian equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\right)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is given by taking the derivative of (9) by $\dot{x}$ and showing that this yields (8). If one knows a Jacobi last multiplier, then $L$ can be easily obtained by a double integration, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\int\left(\int M \mathrm{~d} \dot{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \dot{x}+f_{1}(t, x) \dot{x}+f_{2}(t, x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are functions of $t$ and $x$ which have to satisfy a single partial differential equation related to (6) [12]. As it was shown in [12], $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are related to the gauge function $F=F(t, x)$. In fact, we may assume

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} & =\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \\
f_{2} & =\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}+f_{3}(t, x) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{3}$ has to satisfy the mentioned partial differential equation and $F$ is obviously arbitrary.

## 3 Some biological examples from [16]: Non Linear Lagrangians for second-order equations

### 3.1 Volterra-Lotka

The Volterra-Lotka model considered by [16] is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_{1} & =w_{1}\left(a+b w_{2}\right) \\
\dot{w}_{2} & =w_{2}\left(A+B w_{1}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that there is an obvious autonomous first integral, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=B w_{1}-b w_{2}+A-a \log \left(w_{2}\right)+A \log \left(-B w_{1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be obtained by a simple quadrature from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} w_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} w_{2}}=\frac{w_{1}\left(a+b w_{2}\right)}{w_{2}\left(A+B w_{1}\right)} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to simplify system (12) we follow [16] and introduce the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\exp \left(r_{1}\right), \quad w_{2}=\exp \left(r_{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then system (12) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_{1} & =b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+a \\
\dot{r}_{2} & =B \exp \left(r_{1}\right)+A . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We can transform this system into an equivalent second-order ordinary differential equation by eliminating, say, $r_{1}$. In fact from the second equation in (16) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\log \left(\frac{\dot{r}_{2}-A}{B}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equivalent second-order equation in $r_{2}$ is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}_{2}=-\left(b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+a\right)\left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Jacobi Last Multiplier for this equation has to satisfy equation (8), i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\log M)+b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+a=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\log M)+\dot{r}_{1}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

by taking into account the first equation in (16), and consequently we get the following Jacobi Last Multiplier for equation (18):

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\exp \left(-r_{1}\right)=\frac{B}{\dot{r_{2}}-A}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last equality being true thanks to (17). Then a Lagrangian can be easily obtained by a double integration as in (10), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=B\left(\left(\dot{r}_{2}-A\right) \log \left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right)-\dot{r}_{2}+b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+a r_{2}\right)+\dot{F}\left(t, r_{2}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same Lagrangian (minus the gauge function $F$ ) was obtained in [16] by using an ad hoc method. In order to show the power of the Jacobi's method we derive at least another Lagrangian for equation (18).

We note that (18) is autonomous and therefore invariant under time translation. It is easy to show that the Lagrangian $L_{1}$ in (22) yields a time-invariant first integral, namely (13), through Noether's theorem [10], which yields the following first integral if $L$ is invariant under time translation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-L+\dot{x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}+F(t, x)=\text { const } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of the property (d) of the Jacobi last multiplier, the product of a Jacobi last multiplier $M_{1}$ as in (21) and a first integral $I_{1}$ as in (13) of equation (18) yields another Jacobi last multiplier, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}=M_{1} I_{1}=\frac{B^{2}}{A-\dot{r}_{2}}\left(a r_{2}-\dot{r}_{2}-A \log \left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right)+b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore we can obtain a second Lagrangian of equation (18), i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{2}= & -\frac{B^{2}}{2}\left(\left(A \log \left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right)-2 a r_{2}\right)\left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right) \log \left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& -\left(2 a r_{2}+\dot{r}_{2}\right) \dot{r}_{2}-2 b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)\left(\left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right) \log \left(A-\dot{r}_{2}\right)+\dot{r}_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+b^{2} \exp \left(2 r_{2}\right)+2 a b r_{2} \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+a^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)+\dot{F}\left(t, r_{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

This Lagrangian yields another time invariant first integral which is just the square of $I_{1}$ in (13).

We can keep using property (d) to derive more and more Jacobi last multipliers and therefore Lagrangians of equation (18). In fact other Jacobi last multipliers can be obtained by simply taking any function of the first integral $I_{1}$ in (13) and multiplying it for either $M_{1}$ in (21) or $M_{2}$ in (24), and so on ad libitum.

We would like to point out that a Jacobi Last Multiplier for system (16) is a constant, and therefore by using property (b) we can easily derive a Jacobi Last Multiplier for the Volterra-Lotka system (12). In fact we have to calculate the Jacobian of the transformation (15) between $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ and ( $r_{1}, r_{2}$ ) and this yields a Jacobi Last Multiplier of system (12), i.e.

$$
M_{[w]}=M_{[r]} \frac{\partial\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{w_{1}} & 0  \tag{26}\\
0 & \frac{1}{w_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}} .
$$

Then the system (12) is completely integrable as it was shown by Jacobi himself [1]: a system of two first-order ordinary differential equations is completely solved when one knows a first integral and a Jacobi Last Multiplier [5].

### 3.2 Gompertz

The Gompertz's model considered by [16] is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_{1} & =w_{1}\left(A \log \left(\frac{w_{1}}{m_{1}}\right)+B w_{2}\right) \\
\dot{w}_{2} & =w_{2}\left(a \log \left(\frac{w_{2}}{m_{2}}\right)+b w_{1}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to simplify system (27) we follow [16] and introduce the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=m_{1} \exp \left(r_{1}\right), \quad w_{2}=m_{2} \exp \left(r_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then system (27) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_{1} & =m_{2} B \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+A r_{1} \\
\dot{r}_{2} & =m_{1} b \exp \left(r_{1}\right)+a r_{2} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to derive a Jacobi Last Multiplier for this system from (5), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \log \left(M_{[r]}\right)=-(a+A) \Longrightarrow M_{[r]}=\exp [(-a+A) t] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can transform system (29) into an equivalent second-order ordinary differential equation by eliminating, say, $r_{2}$. In fact from the second equation in (29) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\log \left(\frac{\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}}{B m_{2}}\right), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equivalent second-order equation in $r_{2}$ is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}_{1}=\left(b m_{1} \exp \left(r_{1}\right)+a \log \left(\frac{\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}}{B m_{2}}\right)\right)\left(\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}\right)+A \dot{r}_{1} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using property (b) a Jacobi Last Multiplier for this equation can be obtained. In fact we have to calculate the Jacobian of the transformation between $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ and $\left(r_{1}, \dot{r}_{1}\right)$, namely (31) and this yields a Jacobi Last Multiplier of equation (32), i.e. ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=M_{[r]} \frac{\partial\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(r_{1}, \dot{r}_{1}\right)}=\exp [-(a+A) t] \frac{1}{\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a Lagrangian can be easily obtained by a double integration as in (10), i.e.
$L_{1}=\exp [-(a+A) t]\left(\left(\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}\right) \log \left(\dot{r}_{1}-A r_{1}\right)+m_{1} b \exp \left(r_{1}\right)-a r_{1} \log \left(B m_{2}\right)-a r_{1}\right)+\dot{F}\left(t, r_{1}\right)$.
The same Lagrangian (minus the gauge function $F$ ) was obtained in [16] by using an ad hoc method.
Finally property (b) yields a Jacobi Last Multiplier for the Gompertz's system (27). The product of $M_{[r]}$ in (30) with the Jacobian of the transformation (28) between ( $w_{1}, w_{2}$ ) and $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ yields the following Jacobi Last Multiplier of system (27), i.e.

$$
M_{[w]}=M_{[r]} \frac{\partial\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)}=\exp [-(a+A) t]\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{w_{1}} & 0  \tag{35}\\
0 & \frac{1}{w_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\exp [-(a+A) t] \frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}} .
$$

### 3.3 Verhulst

The Verhulst's model considered by [16] is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{w}_{1} & =w_{1}\left(A+B w_{1}+f_{1} w_{2}\right) \\
\dot{w}_{2} & =w_{2}\left(a+b w_{2}+f_{2} w_{1}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to derive a Jacobi Last Multiplier for this system from (5), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \log \left(M_{[w]}\right)+\left(2 B+f_{2}\right) w_{1}+\left(2 b+f_{1}\right) w_{2}+a+A=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]we assume that $M_{[w]}$ has the following form:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{[w]}=w_{1}^{b_{1}} w_{2}^{b_{2}} \exp \left(b_{3} t\right), \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $b_{i},(i=1,2,3)$ are constants to be determined. Replacing this $M_{[w]}$ into (37) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\frac{-2 B b+b f_{2}+f_{1} f_{2}}{B b-f_{1} f_{2}}  \tag{39}\\
& b_{2}=\frac{-2 B b+B f_{1}+f_{1} f_{2}}{B b-f_{1} f_{2}}  \tag{40}\\
& b_{3}=\frac{A B b-A b f_{2}+a B b-a B f_{1}}{B b-f_{1} f_{2}}, \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

if $B b-f_{1} f_{2} \neq 0$, and therefore if no condition is imposed on the parameters in Verhulst's model.

We follow [16] and introduce the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\exp \left(r_{1}\right), \quad w_{2}=\exp \left(r_{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then system (36) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_{1} & =A+B \exp \left(r_{1}\right)+F \exp \left(r_{2}\right) \\
\dot{r}_{2} & =a+b \exp \left(r_{2}\right)+f \exp \left(r_{1}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

We can transform this system into an equivalent second-order ordinary differential equation by eliminating, say, $r_{2}$. In fact from the second equation in (46) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equivalent second-order equation in $r_{1}$ is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}_{1}=. \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Host-Parasite

A simple mathematical model which describes the interaction between a host and its parasite and which takes into account the non-linear effects of the host population size on the growth rate of the parasite population is given by the equations (Leslie \& Gower, 1960)

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{H} & =(a-b P) H \\
\dot{P} & =\left(A-B \frac{P}{H}\right) P . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Final remarks

AS stated by Paine [14], "This gives one hope of finding an integral or constant of motion for the dynamical system of interest without the hardship of solving the system of equations."
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